They abused children (but only for research purposes)

Alfred Kinsey revolutionised ideas of sexual development. What he did not reveal is that his data was supplied by paedophiles

By Tim Tate

The Sunday Observer - 8/9/98

I HAVE, APPARENTLY, developed incurable Kinsey insisted that - with the right assis-infants and children and being of a scientific brain damage'. I have also abandoned tance - children could enjoy 'orgasms' bent kept detailed records of each encounter. documentary film-making in favour of from the moment they were born. 'sensational twistings' and 'cheap conadvised, are 'considerable'.

late Professor Alfred Kinsey.

dares to challenge the scientific validity scientific 'proof of children's sexuality. and morality of one part of Kinsey's mon-(Secret History: Kinsey's Paedophiles -Channel 4, tomorrow).

Kinsey, a professor of zoology at Indiana University, began his research in the Thirties - a time when, as his colleague Paul Gebhard explained, 'everything was illegal except wet dreams'. Over two 'achieve orgasm, decades Kinsey and his team carried out the biggest survey of sexual attitudes and behaviour ever undertaken. Kinsey pubdetail in two books - Sexual Behaviour in The Human Male (1948) and Sexual Behaviour in The Human Female (1953).

Each book included separate chapters on child sexuality. Chapter 5 of the 'Male' volume set the tone by concluding that children were fully fledged sexual beings from birth. Kinsey specifically denounced the prevailing Freudian view that child

Curiously no one seemed to question Gebhard went on to explain that the paetroversy', in the company of America's the basis of this revolutionary claim. For rabid Christian right. My 'sins', I am almost 40 years it was simply accepted at face value. Then, in the Eighties, Judith This enlightening diagnosis is made by Reisman, an American academic Dr Clarence Tripp, psychoanalyst, some-researching sex in the media re-examined time photographer and close confidant of the seemingly scientific tables and text of Kinsey Institute. She wanted to know Chapter 5. Reisman quickly discovered that up to nine paedophiles had sent Kinhad got access to the children. Instead of My symptoms are simple enough: I sey diaries detailing their abuse of chil-receiving answers, she found herself on have produced a documentary film that dren: he had reproduced their contents as the receiving end of a hostile press cam-

umental research into human sexuality four tables in Chapter 5 which described children's capacity for orgasms. Depending on how the tables were interpreted, -Kinsey's research and his reputation as between 317 and 1,800 boys - from two a reliable scientist. And for that they were such a respected scientist came to publish months to 15 years old - seemed to have .. clearly out to get me. been used in experiments designed to discover the precise time it took them to figure with Kinsey's surviving colleagues.

months achieving multiple orgasms, it seemed likely that an adult had been Right. And it's certainly true that these lished the data in impressive scientific involved. Reisman wrote to the Kinsey Institute seeking clarification.

She received a remarkably frank letter back from the then-director - and former her campaign. colleague of Kinsey - Dr Paul Gebhard. In it he confirmed her suspicions:

Since sexual experimentation was illegal we have had to depend upon other sources of data nasty indeed: the abuse of several hun-... Some of these ... were homosexual males dred children by men who he encouraged sexuality was latent - and that during interested in ... pre-pubertal children. One ... to mail their data to Indiana. this period they needed legal protection. had numerous contacts with male and female

dophiles had masturbated the children manually or orally - to produce the orgasms Kinsey described in Chapter 5.

It was to be the last frank and revealing who the paedophiles were - and how they paign by the new director of the Kinsey Reisman was particularly concerned by Institute. Thad clearly touched on something they didn't want dealt with in public. I was questioning the unquestionable

Reisman remains a highly unpopular and with the Institute he founded. They Since the tables showed infants of five accuse her of being part of the coalition of groups aligned to America's Christian groups - from Concerned Women Of America to RSVP - Restoring Social Virtue and Purity - have adopted her and

But Reisman is her own woman - 'I was born a Jew and raised a Catholic'. And what is beyond doubt is that behind Kinsey's prolix phrasing is something very

When we set about investigating how



accounts of child abuse by paedophiles as evidence that children enjoy sex with adults, we discovered that Kinsey's relationships with habitual child molesters was considerably more extensive than had ever been revealed.

want to deny his relationships with pae- evidently scientific - though he concedes dophiles: they wanted to celebrate them. Clarence Tripp - hired by Kinsey to make films of men masturbating - is particularly proud of his mentor's association with a man who abused 800 pre-pubescent boys and girls.

Describing the paedophile - whom we discovered to have been a US government transcribed them correctly. When I did I land examiner called Rex King - as came to the reluctant conclusion that it 'super-scientific', Tripp insisted his vic- wasn't me who had suffered damage to tims 'all thought he was wonderful'. Paus- my mental faculties.

ing for a minute, he corrected himself: Rex King, who 'There were two young girls who ... molested at least 800 agreed to the sexual contact but then children, supplied the found it very painful. This was because 'research' that they were very young and had small gen- supported Alfred italia and [King] was a grown man with Kinsey's claim that enormous genitalia. And there was a fit problem."

Paul Gebhard defends Kinsey's use of King's data because it was unique - which Palmer/ Albequerque is rather the point. If, as the Institute now maintains, much of Chapter 5 of the 'Male' volume was provided by King with no independent verification, in purely scientific terms how can it be relied on?

The current Kinsey Institute director, John Bancroft, somewhat grudgingly accepts that it might be dubious, but has republished both volumes with no qualification or caveat. His predecessor Paul Gebhard insists that King's reporters were trustworthy 'because he reported his failures [children who rejected his sexual overtures] as well as his successes.'

And Clarence Tripp is adamant that King's diaries of sexual abuse contained Curiously, Kinsey's colleagues did not such precise detail that they were selfthat while simultaneously writing them and molesting children, the paedophile was also masturbating himself.

As we laboured on our film I was struck by the seemingly rational way Tripp makes his extraordinary claims. I had to consult the tapes again to be sure we had

children could enjoy sex from infancy. Photograph by Mo Photo Museum